Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/689,111	MELBYE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James R. Brittain	3677
All Participants: Status of Application: on appeal		
(1) <u>James R. Brittain</u> .	(3)	
(2) William J. Bond.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 October 2006	Time: <u>2:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 5 and 8	•	
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	•	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
0001		
The state of the s		
(E≰aminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Mr. Bond to inform him that after further review the decision was made to withdraw the rejection and pass the case to allowance. I further discussed with Mr. Bond the lack of antecedent basis for the modifier "mushroom" in claims 5 and 8 and we agreed to delete the word "mushroom" from those claims.